

THE FEEDING VALUE OF SWEET ORANGE (CITRUS SINENSIS) FRUIT PEELS FERMENTED WITH BOVINE RUMEN LIQUOR IN BROILER CHICKEN DIETS

*1PHILIP C. G., ²ORAYAGA K. T., ²OLUREMI O. I. A.

¹Department of Animal Science Joseph SarwuanTarka University Makurdi Benue State ²Department of Animal Nutrition Joseph SarwuanTarka University Makurdi Benue State

*Corresponding author's mail: gracechubi@gmail.com

Abstract

A 56-day feeding trial was conducted to determine the effect of bovine rumen liquor fermented sweet orange peel on the feed value of broiler chickens. Fresh rumen content was collected from slaughtered cattle at abattoir, mixed with water in the ratio of 1L: 2.5kg of fresh sweet orange peels (SOP) which was collected from orange sellers within Makurdi metropolis. The mixture was put in polythene bags, tied and left to ferment for 72 hours and then sun-dried. The dried mixture was milled and used to replace dietary maize in the control diet T1 at 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% to obtain diets T2, T3, T4, and T5 respectively. A total of 150, day-old broiler chicks were randomly assigned to five dietary treatments in a Completely Randomized Design and replicated thrice. Broiler chicken fed 5% SOP had significantly (P < 0.05) highest final weight, daily weight gain and feed intake although comparable to the control diet. Experimental diets did not show significant effect (P > 0.05) on dry matter, crude protein, crude fibre, ash and nitrogen free extract digestibility. The birds in T1 had significantly (P < 0.05) highest total cost of production and this decrease with increase in the level of SOP. Likewise, the feed cost per bird was observed to be highest in T1 compared to the birds on experimental diets. The utilization of bovine rumen liquor fermented SOP up to 5% maize replacement had improved performance on the birds and it is recommended that SOP fermented for longer duration beyond 72 hours be evaluated on the feed value of broiler chickens.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The request for food due to swelling populace

is a foremost challenge to the livestock and poultry industry in numerous emerging countries including Nigeria. This is because

of the regularly infrequent and unpredictable source of the major feed ingredients, particularly the energy (maize, sorghum, millet) and protein (soybean seed and meal, groundnut cake, sunflower seed and cake, cotton seed and cake, lablab seed, fishmeal) sources. This condition is inimical to acceptable supply of farm animal products like meat, milk, egg which are indispensable for body growth and development, and maintenance of physiological processes. Animal protein deficiency in the diet of the middling Nigerian is shown in the feeding of 3.24g per caput which is far beneath 35g daily obligation suggested by FAO (Hon et al., 2009). The dietetic status of most Nigerians is categorized by insufficient protein consumption both in quality and quantity (Ojaboet al., 2012). There is consequently, the need to rummage around for, recognize and develop substitute feed resources which are inexpensive and freely available (Oluremiet al., 2010), to reduce the cost of production for viable development of farm animals so as to lessen the low per capita animal protein intake. The delinquent of animal protein scarcity in Nigeria and other developing nations has attained a terrible status which calls for urgent cure to avert the looming protein malnourishment (Ekenyemet al., 2006). While, feeding accounts for about 70% of the entire cost of animal production specifically the nonruminant, there is severe global worry about poor management of agricultural and native wastes which can be treated and transformed to useful feeding resources.

Over several eras, maize has not only served as a main food for humans and a chief raw material for most industries but, also a major source of energy in poultry diets, which makes it costly and sometimes inaccessible due to its seasonality. This situation has occasioned in amplified cost of feed production with an equivalent increase in the prices of poultry products. As a result, there

is on-going intensive efforts by researchers to uncover unconventional energy sources that are cheaper and more readily available than maize. Unique of such probable substitutes is sweet orange (Citrus sinensis) peel meal that could serve as a cheaper energy source in poultry diets (Adeyemo and Borire 2002). It has been reported (Oluremi et al., 2005) that sweet orange rind can be used as replacement feedstuff for maize in the ration of broiler at a level of 15%. Sweet orange (Citrus sinensis) fruit peel, remains one of the numerous agricultural wastes found in profusion in Nigeria, and with no cost involved, high in energy and not being harnessed for any productive use (Oluremi et al., 2010). Rumen content is also an imperative animal by-product in the abattoir industry in Nigeria (Ahemen and Zahradden, 2010; Aneiboet al., 2009) and can be improved into a helpful usage by taking advantage of its microbial population, rather than its present status as an agricultural waste. The use of most of these eccentric feedstuffs is restricted as a result of high fibre content, small nutrient content and the existence of anti-nutritional factors or toxic (Mc Donald et al., 1995). Conversely, diverse treatments such drying. as fermentation, soaking in water e.t.c have been reported to improve eccentric feedstuff and expand their nutritional profile (Oluremi et al., 2007b, Orayaga, 2010 and Oyewole, 2011). Its usage in the formulation of broiler diet as a replacement for maize, a highly economical conventional energy source will be of actual importance. The objective of this study is to determine the potential of bovine rumen liquor to improve the feed value of sweet orange peel in broiler feed. The aim of this present study is to determine the growth response of broiler chickens fed diets containing fermented sweet orange peel meal and production cost.

MATERIALS AND METHODS 3.1 Experimental Site

The study was conducted at the Poultry Unit of the Livestock Teaching and Research Farm of the College of Animal Science, University of Agriculture Makurdi, Benue State. Makurdi is situated between latitude 7°44'N and longitude 8°21'E in the Guinea Savanna Zone of West Africa. The area has a yearly rainfall amid 6 - 8 months (May -October) and ranges from 508 to 1016 mm with a least temperature range of $24.20 \pm$ 1.4° C and maximum temperature range of $36.33 \pm 3.70^{\circ}$ C. The relative humidity ranges between $39.50 \pm 2.20\%$ and $64.00 \pm 4.80\%$ (TAC, 2011).

3.2. Preparation of Test Ingredient and Experimental Diets

The test ingredient was Sweet orange (Citrus sinensis) peel (SOP) fermented with Rumen liquor for 72 hours. Sweet orange peel was collected fresh from orange fruit sellers within Makurdi metropolis a night before processing, by treating it with bovine rumen liquor. Fresh rumen content was collected from slaughtered cattle at the Wurukum abattoir in Makurdi and mixed to obtain a homogenous mass to which potable water was added in the ratio of 1kg: 1L. This mixture was stirred with a stirrer, sieved to collect rumen liquor (RL) which was added to sweet orange peel in ratio 1L :2.5kg and mixed thoroughly to obtain a homogenous mixture. The mixture was packed into polythene bags, tied at the open end, allowed to ferment for 72 hours and thereafter sundried on concrete platform to less than 10% moisture. The sun-dried fermented peels was milled to obtain a smaller size for the birds to eat, which was used to replace maize in a practical broiler diet at levels of 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% to obtain 5 different diets T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5, after mixing with other feed ingredients.

3.3. Experimental Animals, Design and Management

A hundred and fifty (150) day old broiler chicks was obtained from a reputable hatchery in Nigeria and used for the feeding trial. At day- old, the one hundred and fifty (150) broiler chicks were randomly grouped into five, and allocated to five (5) dietary treatments T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5. Each treatment was replicated 3 times with ten (10) birds per replicate at both starter (0-4 weeks) and finisher (5-8 weeks) phases. The replicates were randomly allocated to pens. The design of the feeding trial was a completely randomized design (CRD). The birds were raised in deep litter system. Feed and water were provided ad libitum for the 8week feeding trial. Routine management practices which entails provision of feed, drinking water, washing of drinkers, cleaning of feeders and keeping the poultry house clean was followed. Anti-stress supplement was administered prior to and after each vaccination, and pre- and post-weekly weighing of the birds. Coccidiostat was administered at alternate weeks, and antibiotics given if and when necessary. Newcastle disease vaccine at day-old, infectious bursal disease vaccine at day 7, newcastle disease vaccine (Lasota) at day 14, infectious bursal vaccine at day 21 and newcastle disease vaccine at day 28 was given as recommended by the National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom Jos, Nigeria. Data was collected on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, carcass yield and blood profile.

| | | Experime | ental diets | | |
|------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Ingredients (kg/100kg) | T1(0%) | T2(5%) | T3(10%) | T4(15%) | T5(20%) |
| Maize | 54.4 | 51.68 | 48.96 | 46.24 | 43.52 |
| Soybean meal | 38.40 | 38.40 | 38.40 | 38.40 | 38.40 |
| BSOP | 0.00 | 2.72 | 5.44 | 8.16 | 10.88 |
| Brewer's dried grain | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Fish meal | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
| Bone meal | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 |
| Limestone | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Common salt | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Premix | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| DL-methionine | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| L-lysine | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Calculated analysis | | | | | |
| ME (kcal/kg) | 2889.78 | 2874.06 | 2858.34 | 2842.62 | 2826.89 |
| Crude protein (%) | 23.14 | 23.11 | 23.07 | 23.03 | 23.00 |
| Crude fibre (%) | 4.25 | 4.48 | 4.71 | 4.94 | 5.16 |
| Ether extract | 3.75 | 3.72 | 3.68 | 3.65 | 3.61 |
| Lysine | 1.44 | 1.43 | 1.42 | 1.42 | 1.41 |
| Methionine | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.66 |
| Calcium | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Available phosphorus | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.69 |

T1= control diet, T2= 5% inclusion of sweet orange peel meal, T3= 10% inclusion of sweet orange peel meal T4= 15% inclusion of sweet orange peel meal, T5= 20% inclusion of sweet orange peel meal BSOP= Sweet Orange Peel Meal

| | | Experime | ntal diets | | |
|----------------------|---------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Ingredients (kg/100k | | <u>T2(5%)</u> | <u>T3(10%)</u> | <u>T4(15%)</u> | <u>T5(20%)</u> |
| Maize | 57.00 | 54.15 | 51.30 | 48.45 | 45.60 |
| Soybean meal | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
| BSOP | 0.00 | 2.85 | 5.70 | 8.55 | 11.40 |
| Brewer's dried grain | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Fish meal | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Bone meal | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
| Limestone | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 |
| Palm oil | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Common salt | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Premix | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| DL-methionine | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| L-lysine | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Calculated analysis | | | | | |
| ME (kcal/kg) | 2962.32 | 2945.84 | 2929.37 | 2912.90 | 2896.42 |
| Crude protein (%) | 21.49 | 21.45 | 21.41 | 21.37 | 21.34 |
| Crude fibre (%) | 4.11 | 4.35 | 4.59 | 4.83 | 5.07 |
| Ether extract (%) | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.63 | 4.59 | 4.55 |
| Lysine (%) | 1.33 | 1.32 | 1.31 | 1.30 | 1.30 |
| Methionine (%) | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.63 |
| Calcium (%) | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 |
| Available phosphorus | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.68 |

 Table 2: Composition of Finisher Broiler Diets

T1= control diet, T2= 5% inclusion of sweet orange peel meal, T3= 10% inclusion of sweet orange peel meal T4= 15% inclusion of sweet orange peel meal, T5= 20% inclusion of sweet orange peel meal, BSOP= Sweet Orange Peel Meal

3.4. Data Collection

The initial weight of all the birds were taken before being distributed into various dietary treatments. Records of weight gain by the experimental units were taken weekly by

weighing birds in replicates at the end of each week. Body weight gain (BWG) was calculated by finding the difference between the weekly initial weight (Wi) and the final weight divided by the number of days (Wf)/7.

$$BWG = \frac{Wf - Wi}{7}$$

WG per bird = $\frac{Wf - Wi}{7} x8x10$

In a replicate, Overall average BV

Records of feed intake was determined weekly (7 days) by calculating the difference between the quantity of feed given/supplied (Fs) and the quantity of feed remaining/residue (Fr).

Feed intake = Fs - Fr / 7. In a replicate, the average feed intake per bird = $Fs - Fr / 7 \times 8 \times 10$.

Feed conversion ratio was calculated as ratio of feed consumed (g) to body

weight gain (g)

$$FCR = \frac{Feed intake (g)}{Body weight gain (g)}$$

The protein intake per bird was calculated using the Crude protein (cp) of the diet and the feed intake.

Protein Intake = $\frac{\text{Crude Protein} \times \text{Feed intake}}{\frac{1}{2}}$

100

The protein Efficiency Ratio (PER) was calculated as ratio of daily weight gain (g) to Protein intake.

$$PER = \frac{Daily weight gain}{Daily weight gain}$$

Protein intake

Mortality (%) was calculated as ratio of Number of birds loss to number stocked multiplied by 100%

Digestibility trial

Digestibility trial to assess nutrient digestibility was carried out in the 8th week of the feeding trial. One bird per replicate was selected, moved into metabolic cages and allowed an adjustment period of three (3) days. The chickens were deprived of feed 12h before the commencing and termination of the digestibility trial to void the tract in other to certify that the first and

last faecal droppings collected agree to the diets given. Daily feed intake and daily faecal output was collected once daily for 4 days at 8.00 am, weighed and dried in an oven at 105°C to constant weight. The ovendried faecal droppings from each replicate was bulked, mixed and milled. Samples of the experimental diets and milled faecal droppings were analyzed for dry matter (DM), crude fibre (CF), ether extract (EE), ash and nitrogen free extract was also calculated (AOAC, 2000).

The coefficient of digestibility of nutrient was calculated using the formula:

Coefficient of digestibility = <u>Nutrient in feed-nutrient in faeces</u>

Cost/kg feed

The cost per kg of feed ingredients was calculated using the prevalent market prices of feedstuffs in N/kg. Total cost of feed consumed (N/kg) per bird was resolute as total feed intake $(kg) \times cost per$ kg of feed. The cost of feed per weight gain (N/kg) was calculated as total cost of feed consumed/total body weight gain. Cost saving due to SOPM was calculated by deducting total cost of production of each treatment from the total cost of production of broiler chicken fed control diet. Operational cost per bird was calculated totaling bv all other expenditures excluding costs on feed and day old chicks. Total cost of production was calculated by adding cost of day old $Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$

Y_{ij}= Individual Observation

 μ = Overall mean

chick, feed cost per chick and operational cost.

Feed cost of producing a bird = Total feed consumed/bird (kg) × Unit cost of feed

Chemical Analysis

The sample of the fermented sweet orange peel meal and faeces was analyzed for their proximate composition using the standard methods (AOAC, 2000).

Statistical Analysis

Data was subjected to analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using SAS (2008) software package and the means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). All statements of significance was based on the 0.05 level of probability.

Statistical Model

 $T_i = Effect of fermented sweet orange peel meal (ith treatment)$

 ε_{ii} = Experimental error containing all uncontrolled sources of variation

RESULTS

Table 3: Proximate Composition of the Biodegraded Sweet Orange Peel (SOP)

| Components | % Dry matter |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| Dry matter | 90.50 |
| Crude protein | 8.45 |
| Crude fibre | 11.85 |
| Either extract | 9.05 |
| Ash | 8.40 |
| Nitrogen Free Extract | 62.25 |
| Energy (Kcal/KgME) | 3224.45 |

ME =Metabolisable energy (Kcal/kg) = $37 \times \%$ CP + $81\% \times \%$ EE + $35 \times \%$ NFE (Pauzenga, 1985)

Proximate Composition of the Biodegraded Sweet Orange Peel (SOP)

The proximate composition of the bovine filtrate fermented sweet orange peels used in the study is presented in the table above. The outcome showed that it contained 90.50% dry matter, 8.45% crude protein, 11.85% crude fibre, 9.05% ether extracts, 8.40% ash and 62.25% Nitrogen Free Extract (NFE). The nutrient value of feed ingredient is one of the major requirement for making of good quality feeds. The rudimentary nutrients that cannot be negotiated in the choice of ingredients for feed formulation are protein and energy Akpeet al. (2019). The crude protein value obtained in this work is greater than 7.40% reported by Ojaboet al. (2014) who reported on sundried sweet orange peel meal, 8.05% reported by Otuet al. (2021) who also worked on sundried sweet orange meal. The variance in crude protein composition could be attributed to the processing method of the trial diet. The crude establish in this study was Fibre 11.85% lesser than 13.30% reported by Sunmolaet al. (2019), who worked on sundried sweet orange peel meal and 12.76% reported by Akpeet al. (2019), who worked on Fermented sweet orange peels with rumen content for 48 hours. This decline could be ascribed to progressive Fermentation period. The ash 8.40% obtained in this study was greater than

4.47% reported by Ani et al., (2012) who used Raw bambaranut waste to feed broilers but analogous to 8.19% reported by Ojaboet al. (2014) who worked on sundried sweet orange peel meal and 7. 50% Akpeet al. (2019) who used rumen content to Ferment sweet orange peels for 48 hours. The high ash content might be accredited to the longer period of Fermentation and the specie of orange used. The ether extract obtained in this study 9.05% is advanced than 2.70% reported by Akpeet al. (2019) and 3.22% reported by Oluwabiyiet al. (2020) who worked on sweet orange peels preserved with ruminal content for 48 hours. The Nitrogen free extract gotten from this study (62.25%) is comparable to 61.78% reported by Akpeet al. (2019). The metabolisable energy found in this study (3224.45kcal/kg) is more than 2648.82kcal/kg as reported by Akpeet al. (2019) The high energy rate of biodegraded SOP in this study is in agreement with the findings of Iyayi and Aderolu (2004) who stated that biodegraded agro-by-products rise energy value but equivalent to 3432. 32kcal/kg maize by Aduku (2005). This effectrevealed that sweet orange rinds have relative energy level with maize when fermented with bovine filtrate for 72 hours

| Parameters | | Experim | ental Diets | | | _ |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | T1(0%) | T2(5%) | T3(10%) | T4(15%) | T5(20%) | SEM |
| Initial weight (g) | 46.05 | 47.45 | 46.23 | 47.26 | 45.53 | 0.51 ^{ns} |
| Final weight (g) | 480.00 ^a | 438.15 ^{ab} | 428.67 ^{ab} | 406.06 ^b | 336.67° | 14.2 <i>3</i> * |
| Feed intake (g) | 30.89 ^a | 29.36 ^a | 28.26 ^a | 27.40 ^a | 20.48 ^b | 1.04* |
| Daily weight gain (g) | 15.50 ^a | 13.94 ^{ab} | 13.66 ^{ab} | 12.81 ^b | 10.40 ^c | 0.50* |
| Feed conversion ratio | 1.99 | 2.11 | 2.07 | 2.14 | 1.99 | 0.03 ^{ns} |
| Protein intake (g) | 7.15 ^a | 6.79 ^a | 6.54 ^a | 6.34 ^a | 4.74 ^b | 0.24* |
| Protein efficiency rati | o 2.17 | 2.06 | 2.09 | 2.02 | 2.18 | 0.03 ^{ns} |
| Mortality (%) | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.19 ^{ns} |

Table 4: Effect of Experimental Diets on the Performance of Starter Broiler Chicks

^{a, b, c} Means with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05),

*(p<0.05), ^{ns} Not significantly different (p>0.05), SEM = Standard error of mean,

T1 = 0% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel (Control diet)

T2 = 5% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel

T3 = 10% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel

T4 = 15% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel

T5 = 20% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel

| Parameters | | Experimen | tal Diets | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | T1(0%) | T2(5%) | T3(10%) | T4(15%) | T5(20%) | SEM |
| Initial weight (g) | 46.05 | 47.45 | 46.23 | 47.26 | 45.53 | 0.51 ^{ns} |
| Final weight (g) | 1410.13 ^a | 1491.33ª | 1395.67 ^a | 1303.09 ^a | 1120.77 ^b | 42.88* |
| Feed intake (g) | 52.93 ^b | 56.17 ^a | 55.85 ^a | 55.61ª | 50.67° | 0.75* |
| Daily weight gain (g | g) 24.36 ^{ab} | 25.81ª | 25.21ª | 23.70 ^{ab} | 19.20 ^b | 0.95* |
| FCR | 2.17 | 2.18 | 2.22 | 2.35 | 2.64 | 0.08 ^{ns} |
| Protein intake (g) | 11.37° | 12.07 ^a | 12.02 ^a | 11.95 ^b | 11.89 ^b | 0.16* |
| PER | 2.14 ^a | 2.14 ^a | 2.15 ^a | 1.98 ^b | 1.76 ^b | 0.07* |
| Mortality (%) | 0.33 | 0.60 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.18 ^{ns} |

Table 5: Effect of Experimental Diets on the Performance of Finisher Broiler Chickens

^{a, b, c} Means with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05), *(p<0.05), ns Not significantly different (p>0.05), SEM = Standard error of mean,

T1 = 0% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel meal (Control diet)

T2 = 5% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel meal

T3 = 10% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel meal

T4 = 15% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel meal

T5 = 20% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel meal

Growth Performance of Broiler Chicks fed graded level of 72 hours filtrate-fermented sweet orange peel based diet at Starter Phase

Table 4 above displays the growth performance of four (4) weeks old broiler chicken fed graded level of 72 hours filtrate-fermented sweet orange peel based diet. There were significant differences (p<0.05) in Final weight, Feed intake, Daily weight gain and Protein intake. The significantly (p<0.05) maximum body weight (480.00g) was observed in the control group T1 (480.00g) tailed by broilers in T2 (438.15g), T3(428.67g) and T4(406.06g) which were comparable to the control while T5 was least. There was a firmdecline in the facts of the abovementioned parameters as the level of BSOP addition augmented from 5%, 10%,

15% and 20%. The average feed intake of the birds were significantly different (p<0.05) across the treatments. The lowest feed intake was noted for the broilers of group T5 (20.48g) and highest was consumed by group T1 (30.89g). Amid the birds fed BSOP, those fed 5% (T2) of the test ingredient performed better in final body weight (438.15g) than those placed on 10% (428.67g), 15% (406.06g) and 20% (336.67g).

Daily weight gain and Protein intake were significantly (p<0.05) different through the treatment and this followed the same trend as final weight gain. The values of daily weight gain for birds in group T2 (13.94g) and T3 (13.66g) were statistically comparable with the value for the birds in the control group (T1), 15.50g. The protein intake of the birds across the treatment were significantly

different (p<0.05). The birds on trial diet were detected to have a diminished value in protein intake with growing level of the test ingredient (BSOP). Nevertheless, birds in T2 (6.79g), T3 (6.54g) and T4 (6.34g) had comparable values with the birds on control diet T1 (7.15g). The protein efficiency ratio (PER) in this study did not differ significantly (p>0.05) between the treatment groups and this denotes that the addition of BSOP in the diet did not affect the utilization and absorption of protein in the diets. The PER found in this study was higher than 1.46 to 1.58 reported by Amaga (2009), the alteration could be attributed to the processing method of the test ingredient (SOP). Mortality values were not significantly (p>0.05) different among the birds and this infers that BSOP is not deadly to the health of the birds.Final body weight dropped across the treatment with the addition of the test ingredient. This agreed with the discoveries of Aguet al. (2010) who reported a significantly (P<0.0) lower value in final body weight of the broiler chickens fed diets comprising SOPM. The result also conformed to that of Ani et al. (2015) who reported that growing levels of processed SOPM from 5 % to 20 % significantly declined the average final body weight and weight gain related with the birds fed control diet. This could be owing to lower feed intake by the birds. Feed intake declined significantly across the treatments as a result of the inclusion of bovine filtrate Fermented sweet orange peel meal at variable levels. The product of this study also agreed with the discoveries of Abbas et al. (2013) on substantial reduction in feed intake of broiler chickens at all inclusion levels of dietary SOPM. This result agrees with Aguet al. (2010) and Oluremiet al. (2007) who reported on sun-dried sweet orange peel meal. The decreased feed intake at various levels notwithstanding Fermentation of test material could be suggestive of high

concentration of anti-nutritional features which are existing in orange peels as they are known to reduce palatability and reduce digestibility of livestock feed (Makkar, 2003). The feed conversion ratio wasn't affected meaningfully across the treatment despite the varying level of Sweet orange peels inclusion in the diet. The feed conversion ratio acquired in this study falls within the range of 2 to 5 as recommended by Oluyemi and Robert (2000), as normal for broiler chicken but the feed intake and daily body weight were affected. The values of daily weight gain and feed intake obtained for finisher broiler chicks falls within the expected minimum value of 19g and 37g respectively, reported by Aduku (2005). The weight gain of the birds dropped across the treatment with higher inclusion of the test ingredients and this findings agree with Ani et al. (2011) who reported that feeding of diets containing anti-nutrients by poultry birds can result into decline weight gain of birds as a result of lower feed intake and lower effectiveness of feed utilization. The high crude fibre level enclosed in the orange peel can precipitate negative effects on broiler performance (Ayedet al., 2011; Soltaniet al., 2012). Protein Efficiency Ratio and protein intake were observed to have deteriorated across the treatment and this result disagree with Oluwabiyiet al. (2020) who reported on biodegradable sweet orange peel meal utilization in Rabbit feed. This result may be owed to the failure of the birds to handle high dietary Fibreportion in the feed. The PER achieved in this study was higher than 1.46 to 1.58 reported by Amaga (2009), the variance could be attributed to the processing method of the test ingredient (SOP). Similar observation was also reported by Abbas et al. (2013) on broiler chicks fed sweet orange peel based diet. Mortality values were not significantly (p>0.05)different among the birds and this implies that BSOP is not fatal to the health of the

birds. Mortality recorded could not be linked to feed poison since past information on sweet orange peel meal have recorded zero mortality even at higher percentages of maize replacement Oluremi*et al.* (2008).

Growth Performance of Broiler Chicks fed graded level of 72 hours filtratefermented sweet orange peel based diet at Finisher Phase

Table 5above indicate the result of growth performance of finisher broiler fed graded level of 72 hours filtrate-fermented sweet orange peel based diet. There were significant difference (p < 0.05) in the average final weight, feed intake and daily weight gain of birds. The maximum value in final weight of the birds was seen in T2 (1491.33g) and the lowest value was recorded in group T5 (1120.77g). Though, the birds in T1 (1491.33g), T2 (1410.13g), and T3 (1395.67g) are statistically similar. This denotes that the performances of finisher broiler in the control group (T1) was not superior to the performance of the finisher broiler in the SOP based diets.

Feed intake varies significantly (p<0.05) among the dietary treatment with birds in the

5% BSOP inclusion recording the highest feed intake (56.17g). The values across the treatment had no peculiar variation, however, it was observed that birds on the experimental diets T2 (56.17g), T4 (55.61g) and T5 (50.67g) statistically had comparable values with birds in the control group T1 (52.93g). Though, the birds in T2 (56.17g) had the highest value and the birds in T5 (50.67g) had the lowest value for feed intake. Daily weight gain was significantly (p<0.05) affected across the treatment and this study display that birds in group T2 (25.81g) performed better in weight gain even further than the birds in the control group (24.36g). Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) was not significantly different (p>0.05) among the treatments. This implies that the test ingredients had no effect on the conversion ratio of the birds. Protein intake vary significantly (p<0.05) among the treatments, however, birds on the experimental diet T2 (12.07g) had highest values of protein intake likened to the birds on control diet T1 (11.37g). Protein efficiency ratio also vary significantly (p<0.05) among the treatments with the birds in group T2 (2.14), T3 (2.15)and T4 (1.98) statistically similar with the birds in the control group T1 (2.14).

| | | Experimen | ital diets | | | |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Parameters | T1(0%) | T2(5%) | T3(10%) | T4(15%) | T5(20%) | SEM |
| Dry matter | 80.23 ^a | 79.25 ^{ab} | 77.01 ^{ab} | 75.09 ^{ab} | 72.45 ^b | 0.91* |
| Crude protein | 1 78.05ª | 74.25 ^{ab} | 71.73 ^b | 70.12 ^b | 69.17 ^b | 1.02* |
| Crude fibre | 77.48ª | 75.94 ^{ab} | 73.58 ^{ab} | 69.27 ^b | 67.01 ^b | 0.70* |
| Ether extract | 73.61ª | 70.92 ^b | 70.06 ^b | 69.53 ^b | 69.28 ^b | 0.51* |
| NFE | 75.59ª | 71.68 ^b | 70.01 ^b | 69.56 ^b | 69.04 ^b | 1.04* |
| Energy | 80.65 ^a | 77.32 ^{ab} | 74.29 ^b | 70.87^{b} | 69.12 ^b | 1.85* |

NFE= Nitrogen free extract T1 = 0% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel (Control diet), T2 = 5% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel, T3 = 10% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel, T4 = 15% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel, T5 = 20% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel

Digestibility Trial

The result of experimental diet on digestibility trials of broiler chickens is presented in table 6 above. The digestibility parameters which comprise of dry matter, Crude protein, Crude Fibre, ether extract, Nitrogen free extract and Energy were significantly affected (p<0.05) among treatment means. It was detected from table 6 above that the digestibility values for each nutrients ranges within 60-80%. The broiler chickens in the control group (T1) showed a little higher value related to the other chickens in the sweet orange peel based dietary treatments. Two essential factors modifying feed quality are crude protein and Fibre. The coefficient of crude protein digestibility in the maize-based diet group (T1) was higher (78.05%) but statistically

similar to T2 (74.25%) while T3 (71.73%) and T4 (70.12%) were statistically similar with T5 (69.17%) having the least value. This possibly will be the consequence of binding with protein by some anti-nutrients like saponin and tannin existing in the sweet orange peel as reported by Oluremiet al. (2007), though the processing method has reduced their concentration a little. The crude Fibre were significantly affected diagonallyin the treatment with T1 (77.48%) having the highest value but statistically similar to T2 (75.94%) and T3 (73.58%). The broiler chickens fall in nutrient absorption with higher inclusion of the sweet orange peels due to its high Fibre content. Fibrehave a tendency to limit the amount of intake and the retention of the available energy by birds, and contributes to too much nutrient excretion (Kung and Grueling, 2000).

| Parameters | | Expe | rimental Di | ets | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| | T1(0%) | T2(5%) | T3(10%) | T4(15%) | T5(20%) | SEM |
| Cost of day old chick | | | | | | |
| (N /chick) | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | |
| Starter feed cost (N/kg) | 135.30 | 131.90 | 128.50 | 125.10 | 121.70 | 1.29 |
| Cost of saving by BSOP(N/kg) | - | 3.40 | 6.80 | 10.20 | 13.60 | 1.29 |
| Finisher feed cost (#/kg) | 131.87 | 128.31 | 124.75 | 121.18 | 117.62 | 1.35 |
| Cost of saving by BSOP(N/kg) | - | 3.56 | 7.12 | 10.69 | 14.25 | 1.35 |
| Feed cost N/kg weight gain | 292.12 | 283.64 | 280.70 | 274.58 | 265.24 | 4.96 |
| Feed cost / bird (N) | 201.80ª | 195.43ª | 198.59ª | 155.27 ^b | 9 183.14ª | 5.80* |
| Operational Cost (N) | 103.33 | 103.33 | 103.33 | 103.33 | 103.33 | - |
| Total cost of production(N /bird) | 415.80 ^a | 413.55 ^a | 370.28 ^{ab} | 330.33 ^t | o 379.85ª | ^b 11.54 [*] |

| Table 7: Effect of Experimental Diets on the Cost of Production of Broiler Chicken |
|--|
|--|

^{a, b}Means with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05), *(p<0.05), ^{ns} Not significantly different (p>0.05), SEM = Standard error of mean, BSOP= Biodegraded Sweet Orange Peel

T1 = 0% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel (Control diet)

T2 = 5% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel

T3 = 10% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel

T4 = 15% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel,

T5 = 20% maize replacement with biodegraded sweet orange peel

COST - BENEFITS ANALYSIS

The cost benefits indicators of broiler

chickens Fed graded level of 72 hours filtrate- fermented sweet orange peel based diet is presented in table 7above. The result indicated that the cost benefits parameters starter feed cost (₩/kg), percentage cost of saving by BSOP at starter diet, finisher feed cost (N/kg), percentage cost of saving by BSOP at finisher diet and feed cost N/kg weight gain were significantly not affected (p>0.05). T1(135.30N/kg) Starter feed cost recorded the highest value and statistically similar to T2 (131.90[№]/kg) while T3 (128.50 N/kg), T4 (125.10 N/kg) and T5 (121.70N/kg) are statistically similar. Percentage cost of saving by BSOP at starter diet improved with the higher inclusion of BSOP, T5 (13.60%) recorded the highest value tailed by T4 (10.20%), T3 (6.80%) and T2 (3.40%). Finisher feed cost of T1 (131.87 N/kg) recorded the highest value, T2 (128.31N/kg), (124.75N/kg), T3 T4 (121.18 N/kg) were statistically similar while T5 (117.62N/kg) recorded the least. Percentage cost of saving by BSOP at finisher diet increased with more inclusion of BSOP, T5 (14.25%) recorded the highest value followed by T4 (10.69%), T3 (7.12%) and T2 (3.56%). Feed cost per kilogram weight gain recorded the maximum value for T1 (292.12N/kg) and statistically similar to T2 (283.64₩/kg), T3 (280.70₩/kg), T4 (274.58₦/kg) and T5 (265.24₦/kg). Though, feed cost/bird(\mathbb{N}) were significantly affected (p<0.05) through the treatment. T1 $(201.80\mathbb{N})$ recorded the highest value, T2 (195.43N), T3 (198.59N), T5 (183.14N) were statistically similar and T4 (155.27 \aleph) recorded the least. Total cost of production were significantly different (p<0.05) as well. T1 (415.80 \aleph) recorded the highest value and statistically alike with T2 (413.55₦) while T3 (370.28N),T4 (330.33N) and T5 (379.85N) were statistically similar.

The result for cost of production of finisher broiler fed graded level of 72 hours filtratefermented sweet orange peel based diet indicated that there was no significant (p>0.05) difference among the treatment

groups for the feed cost per kg weight gain. However, significant (p<0.05) difference transpired for feed cost of raising a chick from day-old to 56th day. The cost of day-old chicks as percentage of total cost of production was significantly (p<0.05) affected by the diets. As the BSOP/maize replacement levels increased, the feed cost per weight gain increasingly decreased amid the treatments. The progressive decreased is in line with the report of Ani et al. (2012); Ojaboet al. (2014); Ngikiet al. (2014) and Olaifaet al. (2015) who reported that the feed cost per weight gain lessened with increased dietary levels of Bambara nut, sweet orange peel, cassava root-leaf meal mixture and cassava peel meal based diet correspondingly on broiler chickens. Cost savings due to sweet orange peel improved with increased levels of sweet orange peel as a replacement for maize (conventional feed). The feed cost per bird was significantly (p<0.05) affected by the diet suggestively due to less feed consumed by the birds. The total cost of production were significantly (p < 0.05)affected across the treatments with a progressively reduced value as the BSOP/maize replacement levels increased. This could be due to minimal cost inference of moving round to get orange peels from the sellers and getting rumen content from slaughter house to make the test ingredients (SOP) for the replacement of maize.

CONCLUSION

The chemical composition of fermented SOP treated with rumen filtrate for 72 hours revealed that it has a crude protein of 8.45% making it comparable to maize in feedstuff for poultry.

The result obtained has shown that feeding birds at 5% maize replacement with SOP gave the highest growth performance than the birds in the other dietary levels although statistically similar. However, the progressive inclusion of the test ingredient (SOP) had adverse effect on the growth performance of broiler chicken.

Birds fed fermented SOP treated with rumen filtrate for 72 hours was significantly (p<0.05) affected in PCV, WBC and MCV among the treatments. Feeding of this test ingredient (SOP) brings about a reduction in the glucose level of the birds and significantly (p<0.05) affect the Albumin level.

There was a slight variation in the carcass of the birds with the birds in the control group having the highest dressed weight and dressing percent. Therefore, the inclusion of SOP had adverse effect on the carcass of the birds.

Although, there was a reduction in the total cost of production of the birds and the feed cost per bird as the level of SOP increase however with no peculiar pattern of variation.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that rumen filtrate-treated sweet orange fruit peels fermented for longer duration beyond 72 hours be evaluated for their effect on the growth response of broiler chickens. Farmers can include rumen filtratetreated sweet orange peels up to 5% in the diets of their broilers for improved growth performance. Further research is needed to assess the effects of SOP to improve its suitability as a feed resource and growth promoter in poultry production.

REFERENCES

Aba-Adulugba, E. and Joshua, R.A. (1990). Haematological Studies in Apparently Normal Fice Indigenous Breeds of Goats in Nigeria. Bulletin of Animal Health Production in Africa, 38:59-64.

Abbas. E., Ali, A.A.Q., Alireza, S., Vito, L.

& Vincenzo, T. (2013). Effect of different levels of dried sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) peel on broiler chickens growth performance. *Italian Journal of Animal Science* (2) 11-17

- Aboki, E., Jongur, A.A.U. and Onu, J.I. (2013). Productivity and Technical Efficiency of Family Poultry Production in Kurmi local Government Area of Taraba State. *Nigeria Journal of Agriculture and Sustainability*, 4(1): 52 - 66.
- Aboul-Naga, A.M. and Elbeltagy, A.R. (2007). Animal Biotechnology: Applications and Implications in the near East and North Africa (NENA) countries. http://aaaid.ae/pdf/magazine5 /Ani%20Biotechnology%2086-93.pdf [accessed July 2, 2014].
- Adene, D.F. and Oguntade, A.E. (2006). The Structure and Importance of the Commercial and Village-Based Poultry Systems in Nigeria. Rome, FAO.
- Adewale, I. T., Ahaotu, E. O., Onyekwere, M. U., Olaoye, J. C. and Ikpe, J. N. (2018). Serum Biochemical Indices and Haematological Profiles of Broiler Birds Fed Varying levels of Biscuit Waste Meal Based Diet. *International Journal of Animal Science*, 2018; 2(5): 1032.
- Adeyemo, G.O. (2008). Effect of Cotton Seed Cake Based Diets on Haematology and Serum Biochemistry of Egg-Type Chickens. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 7(1): 23 - 27.
- Aduku, A.O. (2002). Poultry Processing and Marketing in Nigeria. Poultry Production in Nigeria a Training

Manual; 146-152.

- Aduku, A.O. (2005). Tropical Feedstuff Analysis Table. Department of Animal Science, Ahmadu Bello University, Samaru, Zaria, Nigeria. Pg 4
- Aduku, A.O. and Olukosi, J.O. (2000). Animal Products: Processing and Handling in the Tropics. Living books series. GU Publications Abuja FCT Nigeria. Pp. 9-13.
- African Economic Outlook. (2012). Nigeria 2012. http://www.africaneconomicoutlook.org /fileadmin/uploads/aeo/PDF/Nigeria%2 0Full%20 PDF%20Country%20Note.pdf [assessed August 24, 2014].
- Agbede, J.O., Adegbenro, M., Onibi, G.E., Oboh C and Aletor, V.A. (2008). Effect of Different Level of Protein in the Growth Performance of Broilers. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7(5): 2721-2727
- Agu, P.N., Oluremi, O.I.A., and Tuleun, C.D. (2010). Nutritional Evaluation of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel as a Feed Resource in Broiler Production. *International Journal of Poultry Science*. 9(7):684-688.
- Agunbade, J.A. (2000) Utilization of Two Varieties of Full Fat and Stimulated Soybeans in Meal and Pelleted Diets by Broiler Chicken. Polymer International, 49: 1529 – 1537.
- Agunbiade, J.A. and Longe, O. G. (1996). Effect of Processing On the Physical and Chemical Properties Of African Yam Bean (Sphenostylisstenocarpa). Nahrung, 40: 184 – 188.

- Ahemen, T. and Zahradden, D. (2010). Species Contribution As Source Of Meat And Their Foetal Wastage In Taraba State,Nigeria. Archives of Applied Science Research, 2(5):85 - 91.
- Aielo, S.E. (1999). The Merk Veterinary Manual. 8th edition. Merck Sharp and Dohme Co., Philaldephia, USA. Pp. 4-52.
- Akande, F. T., Antyev, M., Muvwa, B. J., Nyameh, J. and Zaklag, D. U. (2012).
 Haematological and serum biochemistry of Japanese Quail (*cotonxcotonuxjaponaica*) fed graded level of cassava peel fortified with dried brewers grain. Journal of Agriculture Veterinary Science. 4:1-6
- Akinfala, E.O., Aderibigbe, A. O. and Matanmi, O. (2003). Evaluation Of The Nutrient Value Of Whole Cassava Plant As Replacement For Maize In The Starter Diets For Broiler Chicken. Livestock Research and development .14:1-6
- Akinmutimi, A. H. (2004). Evaluation Of Sward Bean (*Canavaliagladiata*) As
 An Alternative Feed Resource For Broiler Chickens. A Ph.D Dissertation. Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria.
- Akpe, M.E., Oluremi, O.I.A. and Tuleun, C.D. (2019). Haematological and Serum Biochemical Indices of Broiler Chickens Fed Diets Containing Graded Levels of Biodegraded Sweet Orange (Citrus sinensis) Peel. Journal of Agriculture and

Veterinary Science. PP 54-59

- Akpodiete, O.J., Eruvbetine, D and Gagiyovwe, E. E. (2006). Effect of Enzyme Supplementation on Palm Kernel Based Diets On Broiler Chicken Performance. *Nigerian Poultry Science Journal* 4:39-46
- Alabi, O. M., Adejumo, D. O., Aderemi, F. A., Lawal, T. E. Oguntuji, A. O., Ayoola, M. O., Essien, A. and Alabi, O. B. (2008). Physiological Level for Broiler Chickens to Oral Supplementation with Telferiaoccidentalis leaf Extract at Finisher Phase. Proceeding 13th Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria (ASAN) September 15-19, 2008 A.B.U. Zaria. Pp. 114-117
- Altan, O., Pabuccuaoglu, A., Konyalioglu,
 S., Bayrackter, H. (2003). Effect of
 Heat Stress On Oxidative Stress,
 Lipid Peroxidation And Some Stress
 Parameters In Broilers. *Britain Poultry Science* 44(4):545-550
- Alvino, G.M., Archer, G.S., Mench, J.A (2009). Behavioural time budgets of broiler chickens reared in varying light intensities. *AppliedAnimal Behavior Science* 118:54-61
- Amaga, A.O. (2009). The Effect of Replacing Maize with Fermented Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel Meal on the Performance of Broilers. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Animal Nutrition, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria.
- Amar-Klemesu, M. and Maxwell, D. (2000). Urban Agriculture as an Asset

Strategy: Supplementing Income and Diets in Growing Cities. In Growing Cities, Growing Food, Urban Agriculture on the Policy Agenda. A Reader On Urban Agriculture 2000, edited by N. Bakker, M. Dubbeling, S. Gundel, U. Sable – Koschella and H. De Zeeuw, 183-208.

- Anand, J. C.and Maini, S.B. (1997). Utilization of Fruits and Vegetable Wastes. Indian Food Parker51 (2): 45-63
- Aneibo, A. O., Wekhe, S. N. and Okoli, I. C (2009). Abattoir Blood Waste Generation on Rivers State and Its Environmental Implications in the Niger Delta. *International Journal of Toxicology and Chemistry* 91(4):619-625.
- Ani, A.O., Ugwuowo, L.C. and Omeje, O.D. (2012). Growth Performance of **Broilers Chicks Fed Diets Containing** Bambara (Vigna Raw nut subterranea L) Waste and Enzyme. Supplementary African Biotechnology.11 Journal of (56):11991-11997
- Anon, S. (1980). Guide to the Care and Use Of Experimental Animals. Vol. 1 Canadian council of Animal care, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Pp.85-90

AOAC (2000). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis. 17th revised

edition. Washington D. C. Pp. 210-240.

Atteh, J.O. (2002). Principles and Practice Of Livestock Feed Manufacturing. Adlek Printers. 64, Sabo-line, Ilorin, Kwara state, Nigeria. Pp: 217.

- Awoniyi, T. A., Aletor, I. A., Adebao, A. and Oyekunle, B. O. (2000). Observation On Some Erythrocyte Indices On Broiler Chicken Raised On Maggot Meal Based Diets. NSAP. Proc. Umudike. Pp225-228.
- Awosanya, B., Joseph, J. K. and Sowumi, S. O. (1999). Performance of Rabbit on Graded Dietary levels of Toasted *leuceaenaluecocephela*Seed Meal. *Journal of Applied Animal Resource*. 9:235-239.
- Banerjee, G. C. (1998). A Textbook of Animal Husbandry 8th Edition Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. PVT Ltd, New Delhi.
- Bello, K. M., Oyawoye, E. O. and Bogor, S. E. (2011). Response of Cockerels to Graded Levels of Local And Industrially Processed Palm Kernel Meal (*Elaeisguinensis*). *African journal of Agriculture Research* 6 (27): 5934-5939.

Ben, E. R. (2004). Measurement of Farm Level Efficiency of Broiler Production in Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *World Journal of Agricultural Science* (5): 832-836.

- Ben-salem, H., Nefzaoui, A., Messaoudi, A.
 and Ben Arif, T. (1995). A
 Traditional Technique as an
 Alternative to Plastic Sheet For
 Covering Urea-Treated Straw.
 Digestibility and Growth Trials.
 Annual Zootechnology. 45(1): 119.
- Bolu, S. A., Balogun, O. O. and Oninde, S.
 O. (1999).Comparative Feeding And Haematology Value Of Broiler Fed Locally Produced And Nature Vitamin Premix And Two Commercial Vitamin Premix. NSAP

Bounous, D. I., Wyatt, R. D., Gibbs, P. s., Kilburn, J. v. and Quist, C. F. (2000). Normal Hematologic and Serum Biochemical References Intervals for Juvenile. *Wild Turkeys Journal Wildlife Diseases*. 32(2):393-396.

Broderick, A. C., Glen, F., Godley, B. J and Hays, G. C. (2002). Estimating the Number of Green And Loggerhead Turtles Nesting Annually In The Mediterranean. *Oryx* 36:227-235

- Byerlee, D., G. F. Andres, A. Giertz and V. Palmade. 2013. Growing Africa: Unlocking the Potential of Agribusiness.
 AFTFP/AFTAI. The World Bank. <u>http://documents</u>. worldbank.org /curated/en/docsearch?query
 =75663 [accessed March 23, 2015].
- Campbell, T. W. (198). Avian haematology and cytology. 1st Edition Lowa State University Press. Ames. Pp. 3-17.

Chadhokar, P. A. (1984). Non-conventional feed resources for livestock in soil and water conservation program. Community forestry and soil conservation Development Department, Ministry of Agriculture FAO. Adiss-Ababa, Ethiopia.

Chauhan, H. V. S. and Roy, S. (1996). Poulrty Diseases, Diagnosis and Treatment 2nd Ed, New Age International Limited Publisers. Pp. 429.

Chauhan, R. S. and Agrawal, D. K. (2006). Textbook of Veterinary Clinical and Laboratory Diagnosis. 2nd Edition. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers Itd. New

Delhi. Pp. 146-150, 193-207.

Coles, B. H. (1977). Avian Medicine and Surgery, 2nd Ed. Oxford, Blackwell.

- Connolly, A. J. 2014. A Glimpse into the Future: A Lens through Which to Consider 'Africa's rising'. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review 17(Special Issue B): 9-18.
- Daghir, N.J. (2008). Poultry Production in Hot Climate, 2nd edition, CAB International, Wallingford, UK. Pp 387
- Delgado, C.L. and C.A. Narrod. 2002. Impact Of Changing Market Forces And Policies On Structural Change In The Livestock Industries Of Selected Fast Growing Developing Countries. IFPRI. FAO. <u>http://www.fao.org/WAIRDOCS/LEAD</u> /X6115E/x6115e00.htm (accessed August 13, 2014).
- Diarra, S. S. and Usman,B. A. (2008). Growth Performance and Some Blood Variables of Broiler Chicken Fed Raw or Boiled Mango Kernel Meal. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 7 (4): 315-318
- Diarra, S. S., Saleh, B., Kwari, I. D. and Igwebuike, J. U. (2011). Evaluation of Boiled Mango Kernel Meal as Source of Energy by Broiler Chickens in the Semi-Arid Zone of Nigeria. *International Journal of science and Nature* 2 (2):270-274
- Diarra, S. S., Saleh, B., Kwari, I. D., Ubosi, C. O. and Kwari, H. D. (2002). Potentials of Millet Bran As Substitute For Wheat Bran in Broiler Chickens Diets. *Journal of*

Sustainable Agriculture and Environment, 4(2): 165-169.

- Diarra, S.S., Usman, B. A. and Igwebuike, J. U. (2010). Replacement Value of Boiled Mango Kernel Meal for Maize in Broiler Finisher Diets. *Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science* 5 (1) 47-52.
- Downs, K.M., Lien, R.J., Hess, J.B., Bilgili, S.F., Dozier, W.A. (2006). The Effects of Photoperiods Length, Light Intensity and Feed Energy on Growth Responses and Meat Yield of Broilers. Journal of Applied Poultry Resource 15:406-416
- Eggum, B. O. (1970). Blood Urea Measurement as a Technique for Assessing Protein, Fat and Energy Quality. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 24:985-988
- Ekwe, C.C. (2012). Performance of Broiler Chicken Fed Graded Level of Raw and Processed *Mucunasloanei* Meal in Partial Replacement of Soybean Meal in Broiler Diet. Ph.D Dissertation. Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike.
- El saadany, R. M. A., Foda, Y. H. and El Saadany, F. M. (2008). Studies on Starch Extractability from Mango Seed (*Mangiferaindica*) As a New Source of Starch/Starke pp: 113-116.
- Eruvbetine, D., Tajudeen, I. D., Adeosun, A. T. and Olojede, A. A. (2003). Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) Leaf and Tuber Concentrate in Diets for Broiler Chickens. Bio-resource Technology, 86:277-281

Esonu, B.O., Emenalom, O.O., Udedibie,

A.B.I., Herbert, U., Ukpor, C.F. and Okoli, E. (2001). Performance and Blood Chemistry of Weaner Pigs Fed Raw Mucuna Bean (Velvet bean) Meal. Tropical Animal Production and Investigation, 4:49-54

- Eustace, A. I. and Bina, I. D. (2005). Effect of Enzyme Supplementation on Palm Kernel Meal and Brewers Dried Grain on Performance of Broilers. *International journal of Poultry science* 4(2):76-80.2005
- Ewane, D. (1996). A Study of the Mix of Cassava Peel Meal and Blood (CABLO) As Feed Ingredient for Monogastric Animals M.Sc. Thesis, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.
- Ezeagu, I. E. (2005b). Baobab (*Adansoniadigitata L*) Seed Protein Utilization in Young Albino Rats. I. Biochemical Ingredients and Performance Characteristics, Animal Research International. 2(1) 240 – 245.
- Ezekwe, A.G. (2001). Managing Livestock Farms, Unpolished M.Sc. Lecture Notes, Department Of Animal Science, University Of Nigeria, Nsukka; 5-15
- Ezieshi, E.V. and Olomu, J.M. (2008). Performance and Blood Profile of Three Strains of Broilers. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 7 (3): 421-425
- F.A.O. (1985). FAO/APHCA Publication: Non-Conventional Feed Resources in Asia and Pacific 2ndEdition. FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, 1985.
- F.A.O. (2004). Poultry feeding In Tropical

and Sub-Tropical Countries. 3rd edition. Food and Agricultural Organization, Rome, Italy, Pp. 529.

- F.A.O. (2011). Mapping Supply And Demand For Animal-Source Foods To 2030. Animal Production And Health Working Paper No. 2. <u>http://www.fao.org/docrep/014/i2425e/i</u> <u>2425e00.pdf</u> [accessed July 12, 2015].
- Farrel, D. J. (1994). Utilization Of Rice Bran In Diets Of Domestic Fowl And Ducklings. World's Poultry Science Journal. 50: 115-131.
- Fradson, T. and Elmer, O. (1981). Determinants of Income from Poultry Egg Production in Imo State, Nigeria: An Econometric Model Approach. *Global Advanced Journal of Agricultural Science* 3(7): 186-199.
- Hon, F. M., Oluremi, O. I. A. and Anugwa, F. O. I. (2009). Effect Of Dried Sweet Orange (*Citrus Sinensis*) Fruit Pulp Meal On The Growth Performance Of Rabbits. *Pakistan journal of Nutrition* 8:1150-1155.
- Howlett, G. (2008). Climate Change: Implications For Water Utilization In Animal Agriculture And Poultry,In Particular. Proceedings of the 20th Annual Australian Poultry Science Symposium, Sydney, Australia, February.
- Hubbard, A. S. (2006). Evaluating Uniformity in Broilers – Factors Affecting Variation. Hubbard Technical Bulletin. New Hampshire, USA.

Ilori, B. M., Peters, S. O. Aruleba, D. D.,

Akano, K., Wheto, M., Iyanda, A. I., Ozoje, M. O., and Isidahomen, C. E. (2009). Heat Tolerance Traits Among Pure And Crossbred Turkey In Southwest Nigeria. *Proceedings Of* 14th Annual Conference Of Animal Science Association of Nigeria, Ogbomosho. Pp: 89-92.

International Monetary Fund. Regional Economic Outlook. (2014). April. https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/ reo/2014/afr/eng/sreo0414.pdf [accessed September 12, 2014].

- Ipinjolu, J. K. (2000). Performance Of Juvenile Orange Koi Carp (*CyprinuCarpioleanneanus*) Fed Diets Supplemented With Sweet Orange Peel Meal: Body Composition, Nutrition, Utilization And Skin Pigmentation. Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Science pp 228-229.
- Jabbar, M., D. Baker and M. Fadiga. (2011). Animal-Source Foods In The Developing World: Demand For Quality And Safety. Livestock Exchange Issue Brief 16. International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI).

Jain, C. N. (1986). Veterinary Haematology 4th Edition, Philadelphia. Lea AndFebiger Publishers.

- Jain, N.C (1993). Essential of Veterinary Hematology, Lea &Febiger, Philadelphia.
- Jansman, A. J., Verstegen, M. W., Huisman, J. and Van Den Berg, J. W. (1995). Effect Of Hulls And Faba Beans (*Virciafaba L.*) With Low Or Content Of Condensed Tannins On The

Apparent Ileal And Fecal Digestibility Of Nutrients And The Excretion Of Endogenous Protein In The IlealDigesta And Feces Of Pigs. *Journal of Animal science* 73: 118-127.

Jeannine, M. M. (2018). Demystifying TheAvain CBC: The Complete Blood Count. 'Retrieve From

https://www.beautyofbirds.com/aviancb

- <u>c</u>.
- Joe, G. B. and Raymond, L. H. (2005). Hot Weather Management In The Poultry House. Division Of Agricultural Science And Natural Resources. Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service- ANSI-8205 <u>http://www.osuextra.com</u> and <u>http://www.osufacts.okstate.edu</u>. Retrieved 2014-12-11.
- Kaneko, J. J., Haervey, J. W. and Bruss, M. L. (1997). Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals, 5th Edition Academic Press, California.
- Karabulut, a., Canbolat, O., Ozkan, C. O and Kamalak, A. (2007). Determination Of Nutritive Value Of Citrus Tree Leaves For Sheep Using Invitro Gas Production. Asian-Austrialian Journal of Animal Science 20:529-535.
- Kral, I. and suchy, P. (2000). Haematology Studies in Adolescent Breeding Cocks. Acta. Vet. Brno 69:189-194
- Kryger, K.N., K.A. Thomsen, M.A. Whyte and M. Dissing. (2010). Smallholder Poultry Production -Livelihoods, Food Security And Sociocultural Significance. FAO. http://www.fao.org/docrep/013/al674

e/al674e00.pdf [accessed August 22, 2014].

- Kwari, I. D., Igwebuike, J. U., Modu-Kagu, A. and Abubakar, H. G. (2008). Nutrient Digestibility, Serum Haematological and Biological Indices of Broiler Chickens Fed Different Levels of Raw Sorrel (Hibiscus sabdariffavarsabdariffa) Seed Meals. Proceeding 13th Animal Science Association of Nigeria (ASSAN) September 15-19, 2008. A.B.U. Zaria Pp.307-310.
- Lawal, T.E., Alabi, O.M., Oguntunji, A.O., Alagbe, I.A. and Adebiyi, O.A. (2011). Fungal Biodegradation of Plantain Peel for Broiler Finisher Feeding: In Vitro Digestibility, Effects on Performance, Haematological and Serum Parameters. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 7:82-89.
- Lien, R.J., Hess, J.B., McKee, S.R., Bligili, S. F., Townsend, J.C. (2007). Impact Of Light Intensity And Photoperiod On Live Performance, Heterophil To Lymphocyte Ratio, And Processing Yields Of Brolers. *Poultry Science* 86:1287-1293
- Lucas, A. M. and Jamroz, C. (1961). Atlas of Avian Haematology Agriculture Monograph 25. USDA, Washington 25. D.C.
- Madubuike, F. N. and Ekenyen, B. U. (2006). Haematology And Serum Biochemistry Characteristics Of Broiler Chicks Fed Varying Dietary Levels of Impomoaeasarifolia Leaf Meal. International Journal of Poultry Science 5(1): 09-12

- Mal, B., Padulosi, S., Ravi, S.B. (2010). Minor millets in South Asia: learningsfrom IFAD-NUS Project in India and Nepal. Maccarese, Rome, Italy:Bioversity Intl and Chennai, India: M.S. Swaminathan ResearchFoundation. p 1–185.
- Manyong, V.M., A. Ikpi, J.K. Olayemi, S.A. Yusuf, B.T. Omonona, V. Okoruwa and F.S. Idachaba. (2005). Agriculture In Nigeria: Identifying Opportunities For Increased Commercialization And Investment. IITA.

Margaret, A. W. (2001). Avian Plasma Proteins. <u>http://www.exoticpetvet.net</u>.

- Maxwell, M. H., Siller, W. G. and Mckenzie, G. M. (1979). Eosinophiles Associated with Facial Edema in Fowls. Vet. Res. 105:232.
- McDonald, P., Edwards, R. A., Greenhalp, J. F. D and Morgan, C. A.(1995). Animal Nutrition 6th Edition. Longman Group Ltd. United Kingdom. Pp 444-510.
- Mengesha, M. (2011). Climate Change And The Preference Of Rearing Chicken Constraints And Traditional Management Practices in Jamma District, South Wollo, Ethiopia. Journal of Livestock Research Rural Development 23(2).
- Mitruka, B. M. and Rawnsley, H. (1977). Clinical Biochemistry and Haematological Reference Values in National Experimental Animals. 1stEdition, New Tork, USA. Masson Publishing Inc. pp 106-112

- Muhammad, N. O. and Oloyede, O. B. (2009). Haematological Parameters Of Broiler Chicks Fed Aspergillus Niger Fermented *Terminalia catappa* seed Meal-Based Diet, *Global Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry*. 4(2) 179–183.
- National research council, NRC (1994). Nutrient Requirement of Poultry (9th rev. ed). National Academy Press, Washington DC, USA. p.3
- Newberry, R.C., Hunt, J.R., Gardiner, E.E. (1988). Influence Of Light Intensity On Behavior And Performance Of Broiler Chickens. *Poultry Science* 67:1020-1025
- Ngiki, Y. U., Igwebuike, J. U. and Moruppa, S. M. (2014). Effects of Replacing Maize with Cassava Root-Leaf Meal Mixture on the Performance of Broiler Chickens. *International Journal of Science and Technology* Volume 3 No. 6.
- Noraini, S., Wong, H. K., Sarah, R., Mohd,
 E., Fazli., F. A., Zainodin, H.,
 Rosnizah, H. and Norham, I. (2008).
 Performance Of Broiler Chickens Fed
 Fermented Palm Kernel Expeller
 (PKE). Pp159-162, In: *Proceeding Of The 3rd International Conference on Animal Nutrition*, 29-31 July 2008,
 Hotel Equatorial, Bangi Selangor, Malaysia.
- Nowaczewski, S. and Kontecka, H. (2012). Haematological Indices, Size Of Erythrocytes and Haemoglobin Saturation in Broiler Chickens Kept in Commercial Conditions. Animal Science Papers Reports. 30 (2): 181-190.

- Obi, C.I. (2003). Game Production: An Alternative Beef Cattle Production In Southern Nigeria. Academic Forum 4:36-40.
- Ogbonna, J.U., Oredien, A.O. and Ige, A.K. (2000). Effect of Varying Dietary Levels of Cassava Leaf Meal in Broiler Gut Morphology. (Eds) In: *Proceedings of Nigerian Society for Animal Production*.Umudike. 25:143-146
- Oguz, F.K., Oguz, M.N., Buyukoglu, T., sahindokuyucu, F. (2010). Effects Of L-Carnitine And Vitamin C-Electrolyte Premix Supplementation To Diet Containing Safflower Seed On Perfprmance, Egg Quality And Some Serum Parameters In Quails Under Summer Condition. Journal Of Animal Science And Veterinary Advances. 1212-1215
- Ojabo, L.D., Adenkola, A. Y. and Odaudu, G. I. (2012). The Effect Of Dried Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel Meal On The Growth Performance And Haematology Of Rabbits. Veterinary Research 5(2):26-30.
- Ojabo, L.D., Oluremi, O.I.A. and Uza, D.V. (2014). Effect of Feeding Sun-Dried Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel on Pullet Chick Performance. Research Opinion of Animal Veterinary Science, 4(9): 484-488.
- Ojo, S.O. (2003). Productivity and Technical Efficiency of Poultry Egg Production in Nigeria. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 2(6): 459-464.
- Olaifa, R.O., Adeyemi,O.A., Oloyede, S.T., Sogunle, O.M., Agunbiade, J.A. and

Okubanjo, A.O. (2015). Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chickens Fed Graded Levels of Cassava Peel Meal Based Diets. *Malasia Journal of Animal Science*. 18(2): 103-112.

- Olakojo, S.A., Omueti, O., Ajomale, K., Ogunbodede, B.A. (2007). Development Of Quality Protein Maize. Biochemical and Agronomic Evaluation. Trop. Subtrop. Agroecosystem. 7:97-104
- Oluremi, O. I. A., Igyu, A. D. and Abu, F. T (2005). Response of Growing Rabbits to Dietary Replacement of Maize with Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Rind. Production Agriculture and Technology 1(1):130-136. [5].
- Oluremi, O. I. A., Ngi, J. and Andrew, I. A. (2007b). Phytonutrients In Citrus Fruit Peel Meal And Nutritional Implication For Livestock Production. Livestock Resource For Rural Development vol. 9 Article 89. http://www.cipav.org.co.//lrr/19/7olur 19089.htm
- Oluremi, O. I. A., Ojighen, V. O. and Ejembi, E. H. (2006). The Nutritive Potential Of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Rind In Broiler Production. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 5: 631-617
- Oluremi, O. I. A., Okafor, F. N., Adenkola A. Y. and Orayaga, K. T. (2010). Effect Of Ensiling Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel on Its Phytonutrients and the Performance of Broiler Starter. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 9(6):546-549.

Oluremi, O.I.A., Mou, P. and Adenkola, A.Y.

(2008). Effect of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel on Its Maize Replacement Value in Broiler Diet. Livestock Research for Rural Development. 20(2).

- Oluremi, O.I.A., Okafor, F.N., Adenkola, A.Y. and Orayaga, K.T. (2010). Effect of Fermentation of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel on Its Phytonutrients and the Performance Of Broiler Starter. *International Journal of Poultry Science*. 9(6):546-549.
- Oluremi, O.I.A., Okafor, F.N., Adenkola, A.Y. and Orayaga, K.T. (2010). Effect of Ensiling Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel on Its Phytonutrients and the Performance of Broiler Starter. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 9(6): 546-549.
- Oluyemi, J.A. and Roberts, F.A. (2000). Nutrient Requirement of Fowl. In: Poultry Production in Warm Wet Climates (3rd Ed). MacMillan Press, Lond. Pp.1-140.
- Onwudike, N. (1988). Palm Kernel Meal As Feed For Poultry 4. Use Of Palm Kernel Meal By Laying Birds. Animal Feed Science And Technology. 20(4):279-286.

Opeke, L. K. (1982). Tropical Tree Crops. Jojn Willey and Sons, NY, 312pp.

Oram,J.(2012). A new Direction for Agriculture. Greenpeace.

- <u>http://www.greenpeace.org/international</u> /<u>Global/international/publications/RioPlus20/Ne</u> w-Direction-for-Agriculture.pdf
- [accessed August 26, 2014].
- Orayaga, K. T. (2010). Effect of Duration of Soaking of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel on Its Nutritional

Composition and Maize Replacement Value in Broiler Diets. M.Sc Thesis submitted to the Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Animal Science, University of Agriculture, Makurdi. Pp 84

- Orayaga, K.T., Oluremi, O.I.A. and Kaankuka, F.G. (2015b). Effect of Water Soaking of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peels on Growth, Digestibility and Economics of Production of Broiler Finisher Chickens. Nigeria Journal of Animal Science 17(2): 175 – 183
- Oyewole, B. O. (2011). Nutritional Potential of Fermented Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel Meal in the Diets of Pullet Chicks, Grower and Layers. A Phd Thesis Submitted to the Department of Animal nutrition. University of agriculture, Makurdi. Pp. 91-93.
- Oyowoye, S. A. and Ogunkade, O. (1998). Economic Constraints On Production And Consumption Of Animal Source Foods For Nutrition In Developing Countries. *Journal of Nutrition* 133(11): 4054-4061
- Parameswaran K, Sadasivam S. (1994). Changes in the Carbohydrates And nitrogenous Components during Germination of Proso Millet (*Panicum miliaceum*). Plant Foods Hum Nutr 45:97–102.

Pauzenga, U. (1985). Feeding Parent Stock. Zootech. International. pp. 22-25.

Petterson, D. and Aman, P. (1989). Effects of Enzyme Supplementation of Diets Based on Wheat, Rye, or Triticate on their Productive Value for Broiler Chickens. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 20:313-323.

- Prasanna, B.M., Vasal, S.K., Kassauhun, B.,Singh, N.N. (2001). Quality Protein Maize. Curr. Sci. 81:1308-1319.
- Roberts, K. M., Daryl, K. G., Peter, A. M. and Victor, W. R. (2003). Harpers Biochemistry. 25th Edition, MC Graw-Hill New York. 25:673-675.
- Rodgrigueze, P., Tortosa, F., Millian, J. and Gortazar, C. (2004). Plasma Chemistry Refrences Values from Captive Red-Legged patridges (*Alectorisrufa*). British Poultry Science 45:565-567.
- Rooney, L. W. and McDonough, C. M. (1998). Food Quality and Consumer Acceptance Of Pearl Millet. *Proceedings of international pearl millet workshop*, ICRI/SAT, Centre, India. Pp: 45-49
- Ross, J. G., Christe, G., Holiday, W. G. and James, R. M. (1978). Haematological and Blood Chemistry Comparism Values for Clinical Pathology in Poultry Vet. Record. 102:29-31.

Rotschild, J. (2002). Nigeria Poultry and Products Poultry Update. Gain Report #NI2025.

Saenphoom, P. J., Liang, W. H., Loh, C. and Rosfarizan, M. (2010). Effect of Enzyme Treatment on Chemical on Chemical Composition and Production of Reducing Sugars in Palm (*Elaeisguineensis*) Kernel Expeller. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10: 15372-15377.

Salami, R. I., Ogumola, A. L. and Akindoye, O. (2009). Cassava Peel Processed By Different Methods As Substitute For Maize In Cockerel Starter Diets. *Proceeding 28th Ann. Conference of Nigeria Society of Animal Production*, 16-20 March Ibadan p. 177-179.

SAS, (2008). SAS Ushers Guide Statistics, SAS inc. Cary, North California. 2008 edition.

- Schalm, O. W., Jain, N. C. and Carol, E. J. (1975). Textbook of Veterinary Haematology. 2nd Edition. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia. Pp. 129-250
- Shahelian, R. M. D. (2005). Decreased Pro-Inflammatory Cytokine Production By LPS- Stimulated PBMC Upon In Vitro Incubation With The Flavonoids Apigenin, Luteolin Or Due Selective Chrysin, To Elimination Of Monocytes/Macrophages. Bioch Pharm. 69: 241-248.
- Silva, A. (1997). Technical and Economic Efficiencies in Poultry Production in Imo State, Nigeria. *American Journal* of Experimental Agriculture 3(4): 927-938.
- Singh, K. P., Mishra A., Mishra H. N. (2012). Fuzzy Analysis Of Sensory Attributesof Bread Prepared From Millet-Based Composite Flours. LWT—Food SciTechnol 48:276–82
- Sinkalu, V.O., Ayo, A.B., Adelaiye, B., Hambolu, J.O. (2008). Combined Effects Of Vitamin A, C And E. On Diurnal Variations in Rectal Temperature Of Black Harco Pullets During The Hot-Dry Season. Proceedings of the 13th conference of

the Animal Science Association of Nigeria (ASAN). 158-159.

Smith, J. (2008). Nutritional Blood Test(NBT)forAnimals.www.Animalnutriontechnologies.com

- Soetan, K. O., Akinrinde, A.S. and Ajibade, T. O. (2013). Preliminary Studies on the Haematological Parameters of Cockerels Fed Raw and Processed Guinea Corn (Sorghum bicolor). Proceedings of 38th Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production. 49-52.
- Speedy, A.W. (2003). Global Production and Consumption Of Animal Source Foods. *Journal Of Nutrition* 133(11): 4048-4053.

Sturkie, P. D. (1965). Avian Physiology. Ithaca Cornell University Press.

- Sunmola, T. A., Tuleum, C. D. and Oluremi, O.I.A. (2019). Growth Performance, Blood Parameters and Production Cost of Broiler Chickens Fed Dietary Sweet Orange Peel Diets with and Without Enzyme Addition. Nigeria Journal Animal Production. 2019,46(1):37-50.
- Sundu, B., Kumar, K. and Dingle J. (2006). Palm Kernel Meal In Broiler Diets: Effect On Chicken Performance And Health. World Poultry science Journal. 62: 316-325.

Tactical Air Command (TAC) (2011). Makurdi Weather Elements Records, Makurdi Metereological Station. Nigerian Airforce, Tactical Air Command, Makurdi, Nigeria.

Tewe, O. O. and Egbunike, G. N. (1992).

Utilization of Cassava In Non-Ruminat Livestock Feeds In: Cassava As Livestock Feed In Africa. Proceedings Of IITA/ILCA/University Of Ibadan Workshop On The Potential Utilization Of Cassava As Livestock Feed In Africa (Eds S. K. Hahn, L. Reynolds and G. N. Ebuinike), Pp. 28-38.

Thornton, P.K. (2010). Livestock Production: Recent Trends, Future Prospects. Philosophical Transaction of the Royal Society London B: Biological Science 365(1554): 2853-2867.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2013. International Egg and Poultry Report.

- United States Department of Agriculture USDA (2006). Livestock and Poultry: World Market and trade. Foreign Agriculture Service, Circular Series DL&P, Pp.103-107
- USDA. (1999). Summary, Layers and Egg Production. Economic Research Services/ USDA India poultry sector Development and prospect/WRS-04-03.

Van der Sluis, W. (2007). Intensive Poultry Production. *World Poultry* 23(12): 28-30.

- Vantsawa, P. A. (2001). Replacement Value of Local Maize Offal For Maize In Broiler Diet. *Nigeria Journal of Biotechnology*, 12: 25-28
- Waught, A. and Grant, A. (2001). Anatomy and Physiology in Health and Illness.
 9th Ed. Churchill Livingstone, an Imprint of Elsevier Science Limited.
 Pp. 59-70.

- WHO/FAO. (2003). Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention Of Chronic Diseases:WHO Technical Report Series916. Report Of a Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation, Geneva.
- Yang, S.J. and Chung, C.C. (1985). Studies on the Utilization of Citrus By-Products as Livestock Feeds IV. Feeding Value Of Dried Citrus By-Products Fed To Layers. *Kor. Journal* of Animal Science. 27: 239-245.
- Yeong, S. W. (1985). Amino Acid Availability Of Palm Kernel Cake, Palm Oil Sludge Fermented Product (Prolima) In Studies Wiyh Chickens, *MARDI Research Bullet*, 11(1): 84-88